# **Bacteriological Aspect of Frozen Beef Burger**

## Fahim A Shaltout<sup>1\*</sup>, Ahmed A A Maarouf<sup>2</sup> and Hadir HA Mohamed<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Professor of Meat Hygiene at Food Hygiene and Control Department, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Benha University, Egypt <sup>2</sup>Researcher of Microbiology and Director of Animal Health Research, "Benha Branch", Egypt <sup>3</sup>Veterinary Medicine Directorate-Banha, Qaluobia, Egypt

\*Corresponding Author: Fahim A Shaltout, Professor of Meat Hygiene at Food Hygiene and Control Department, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Benha University, Egypt.

Received: July 15, 2017; Published: August 30, 2017

## Abstract

The present study was carried out on 105 random samples of frozen beef burgers, collected from different localities (Benha city, centers and villages with 35 for each locality) at Kaliobia Governorate, to evaluate the bacterial quality and the hygienic health hazard of them with some food borne pathogens. The bacteriological examination of samples collected from, Benha city, centers and villages revealed that, the mean value of APC, Psychrotrophic, Enterobacteriaceae, Coliform and Staphylococcus counts in frozen beef burger samples collected from Benha city were  $2.97 \times 10^4 \pm 0.15 \times 10^4 \pm 1.76 \times 10^4 \pm 0.11 \times 10^4 \pm 0.11 \times 10^2 \pm 0.11 \times 10^2 \pm 0.13 \times 10^2 \pm 0.18 \times 10^2 \pm 0.11 \times 10^2 \pm 0.1$  $10^2$  and  $1.34 \times 10^2 \pm 0.09 \times 10^2$ , respectively; for samples collected from different centers were  $4.74 \times 10^4 \pm 0.17 \times 10^4$ ;  $2.32 \times 10^4 \pm 0.012 \times 10^2$  $0.10 \times 10^4$ ;  $1.74 \times 10^2 \pm 0.10 \times 10^2$ ;  $1.29 \times 10^2 \pm 0.09 \times 10^2$  and  $1.88 \times 10^2 \pm 0.10 \times 10^2$ , respectively; for samples collected from different villages were  $7.91 \times 10^4 \pm 0.16 \times 10^4$ ;  $5.21 \times 10^4 \pm 0.19 \times 10^4$ ;  $3.36 \times 10^2 \pm 0.17 \times 10^2$ ;  $2.23 \times 10^2 \pm 0.13 \times 10^2$  and  $2.38 \times 10^2 \pm 0.19 \times 10^4$ ;  $3.36 \times 10^2 \pm 0.17 \times 10^2$ ;  $2.23 \times 10^2 \pm 0.13 \times 10^2$  and  $2.38 \times 10^2 \pm 0.19 \times 10^4$ ;  $3.36 \times 10^2 \pm 0.17 \times 10^2$ ;  $2.23 \times 10^2 \pm 0.13 \times 10^2$  and  $2.38 \times 10^2 \pm 0.19 \times 10^4$ ;  $3.36 \times 10^2 \pm 0.17 \times 10^2$ ;  $2.23 \times 10^2 \pm 0.13 \times 10^2$ ;  $2.38 \times 10^2 \pm 0.19 \times 10^4$ ;  $3.36 \times 10^2 \pm 0.17 \times 10^2$ ;  $2.23 \times 10^2 \pm 0.13 \times 10^2$ ;  $2.38 \times 10^2 \times 10^2$ ;  $2.38 \times 10^2$ ;  $2.38 \times 10^2 \times 10^2$ ;  $2.38 \times 10^2$ ; 2.38 $0.10 \times 10^2$ , respectively. 14 isolates of *E. coli* were isolated from examined frozen beef burger samples collected from different localities (Benha city, centers and villages) represented as 2(5.7%) from samples of Benha city with serotypes one 055:K59(B5) and one 0125:K59(B5); 5 (14.3%) from samples of centers with serotypes 2 055:K59(B5), 2 0125:K59(B5) and one 0126:K71 (B16) and 7 (20.0%) from samples of villages with serotypes 3 055:K59(B5), 2 0125:K59(B5), one 0111:K58(B9) and one 0126:K71(B16). In addition, 19 isolates of Coagulase positive S. aureus were isolated, 3 (8.6%) from samples of Benha city; 6 (17.1%) from samples of centers and 10 (28.6%) from samples of villages. SET- RPLA test revealed that, 6 strains out of 10 randomly examined strains (60.0%) were enterotoxigenic and classified according to type of toxin into (3A; 1C, 2A and C). Ps. aeruginosa strains were the only species isolated from examined samples and three beef burger samples collected from different villages were only positive for their isolation. Moreover, the present study failed to detect Aeromoneus species and Salmonella serovars from all examined frozen beef burger samples.

Keywords: Beef Burgers; Bacteriological Evaluation; SET- RPLA Test; E. coli; S. aureus; Ps. aeruginosa

## Introduction

Beef burgers are important and popular food items of highly nutritious and highly desirable foods for human being, on the other hand, they are considered as an ideal culture medium for growth of many organisms because of the high moisture, the high percentage of nitrogenous compounds, plentiful supply of minerals, some fermentable carbohydrates (glycogen) and of a favorable pH for most microorganisms resulting in their spoilage, economic losses, foodborne infections in human and health risk [1,2].

Microbiological aspects are a useful way to determine the safety and quality of meat product and they may be contaminated during processing from the hands, workers clothes, knives, the hide, the gut or from the environment and transportation resulting in an inferior

or even unfit quality for human consumption [3,4]. The most important bacterial pathogens in beef meat and meat products that are responsible for food-borne infections include *E. coli, Salmonellae,* coagulase positive *S. aureus* and *Pseudomonas* [2,5,6].

163

The bacterial contamination and hygienic measures during meat production and bad storage conditions for frozen meat products can be measured using the aerobic plate count, total psychrotroph counts and three Gram - negative indicator groups viz: Total Enterobacteriaceae, total Coliforms and *Escherichia coli* biotype 1, which is the most important indicator for faecal contamination [6-8]. *E. coli* is used as surrogate indicator, because its presence in food generally indicates direct and in direct fecal contamination [9]. It is commonly nonvirulent but some strains have adopted pathogenic or toxigenic virulence factors that make them virulent to human and animals. It has become recognized as a serious food borne pathogen and has been associated with numerous out breaks of disease resulting from contaminated beef and meat products, including bacteremia, urinary tract infections, neonatal meningitis, pneumonia, deep surgical wound infections, endovascular infections, vertebral osteomyelitis, and septicemia [10-12]. Infections with *Salmonellae* and coagulase positive *S. aureus*, are the causative agents of two thirds of food-borne disease outbreaks causing gastroenteritis and rarely acquired directly from raw meat but mostly occurs either due to excessive handling or contamination during or after cooking of meat and meat products [13,14].

The *Staphylococci* enterotoxins causing food poisoning are produced by about one-third of coagulase positive *S. aureus* strains and growth of enterotoxigenic strains of *S. aureus* to population of at least 10<sup>5</sup> cfu/g of food is generally considered necessary for production of sufficient amount of enterotoxins to induce food intoxication, that characterized by symptoms including nausea, vomiting, abdominal cramps and diarrhea lasting from 24 to 48h and the complete recovery usually occurs within 1 - 3 days [15]. Recent food surveys confirmed that *Aeromonas* spp. and *Pseudomonas* spp. were considered as re- immerging enteric pathogens that responsible for several food borne illness and outbreaks [5,16]. As the level of contamination of frozen beef burger with different food-borne pathogens constitutes serious problems for consumers, so, the present study was conducted to study the bacteriological aspects, the safety and quality of frozen beef burger at different localities in Kaliobia Governorate.

## **Material and Methods**

#### Samples collection

A total of 105 random samples of frozen beef burgers, were collected from different localities (Benha city, centers and villages with 35 for each locality) at Kaliobia Governorate. Each sample was kept in a separate sterile plastic bag and put in an icebox then transferred to the laboratory under complete aseptic conditions without undue delay and examined bacteriologically to evaluate the bacterial quality and the hygienic health hazard of them with some food borne pathogens.

#### **Bacteriological examination**

- 1. Preparation of samples [17].
- 2. Determination of Aerobic Plate Count (APC)/gram, using the standard plate count following [18].
- 3. Determination of Total Psychrotrophic count [17].
- 4. Determination of Total Enterobacteriaceae count using the surface plating method of ICMSF, 1996 [19] using Violet Red Bile Glucose agar medium (VRBG). The plates were incubated at 37°C for 24 hours. All purple colonies were then counted and the total number of colonies was determined. Hence, the Enterobacteriaceae count/g was calculated and recorded.
- 5. Total Coliform count using the surface plating method of ICMSF, 1996 [19] using Violet Red Bile agar medium.
- Isolation and identification of *E. coli* following [20]: Typical *E. coli* colonies (pink orange colonies) were picked up for identification morphologically by Gram stain; biochemically, serologically by slide agglutination test (using *E. coli* antisera "SEIKEN" Set 1, consists of 8 polyvalent and 43 (OK) antisera of DENKA SEIKEN Co. LTD. Tokyo, Japan) following [21,22].

7. Determination of Total Staphylococci Count following [19]. Isolation of *S. aureus* using Baird-Parker Agar Plates. Suspected colonies were picked up onto slants of nutrient agar for further purification then identified morphologically by Gram-stain; biochemically and coagulase activities according to ICMSF (1996) [19] and Quinn., *et al* [22].

Detection of Enterotoxins producing isolates by SET- RPLA technique [23].

- 8. Isolation and identification of Pseudomonas species following [22,24].
- 9. Isolation of Aeromonas species following [22,25].
- 10. Isolation and identification of *Salmonella* following [26]. Suspected *Salmonella* colonies that appeared as red with black centers on XLD agar and pink on Brilliant Green agar were identified morphologically by Gram-stain and biochemically according to [22].
- 11. Data obtained were analyzed according to Snedecor and Cochran [27] using the computer software program [28].

#### Results

The results of bacteriological examination of frozen beef burger samples collected from different areas at Kaliobia Governorate (Benha city, different centers and different villages) are presented in tables 1-8.

## Total aerobic bacterial count (APC)

| Sample area | Positive |       | Positive            |                     | Min.                                       | Max. | Mean ±SEM** |
|-------------|----------|-------|---------------------|---------------------|--|------|-------------|
|             | No. %*   |       |                     |                     |  |      |             |
| Benha city  | 35       | 100.0 | $0.9 \times 10^{4}$ | $4.9 \times 10^{4}$ | $2.97 \times 10^4 \pm 0.15 \times 10^{4c}$ |      |             |
| Centers     | 35       | 100.0 | $2.5 \times 10^{4}$ | $6.4 \times 10^{4}$ | $4.74 \times 10^4 \pm 0.17 \times 10^{4b}$ |      |             |
| Villages    | 35       | 100.0 | $4.8 \times 10^{4}$ | $9.9 \times 10^{4}$ | $7.91 \times 10^4 \pm 0.16 \times 10^{4a}$ |      |             |

Table 1: Aerobic plate counts/gm (APC) in the examined samples of frozen beef burger (n = 35 for each sample).

\*Percentage in relation to total number of sample in each row. \*\*Standard error of mean

## **Total Psychrotrophic counts**

| Sample area | Neg | ative | tive Positive |       | Min.                | Max.                | Mean ± SEM**                                |
|-------------|-----|-------|---------------|-------|---------------------|---------------------|---|
|             | No. | %*    | No.           | %*    |                     |                     |   |
| Benha city  | 6   | 17.1  | 29            | 82.9  | $0.5 \times 10^{4}$ | $3.2 \times 10^{4}$ | $1.76 \times 10^4 \pm 0.11 \times 1^{04} c$ |
| Centers     | 3   | 8.6   | 32            | 91.4  | $1.2 \times 10^{4}$ | $3.4 \times 10^{4}$ | $2.32 \times 10^4 \pm 0.10 \times 10^{4b}$  |
| Villages    | 0   | 0.0   | 35            | 100.0 | $3.2 \times 10^{4}$ | $7.4 \times 10^{4}$ | $5.21 \times 10^4 \pm 0.19 \times 10^{4a}$  |

Table 2: Total Psychrotrophic counts/gm in the examined samples of frozen beef burger (n = 35 for each sample).

\*Percentage in relation to total number of sample in each row.

\*\*Standard error of mean

## Total Enterobacteriaceae count

| Sample area | Neg | gative | Positive |      | Min.                  | Max.                  | Mean ±SEM**                                |
|-------------|-----|--------|----------|------|-----------------------|-----------------------|--|
|             | No. | %*     | No.      | %*   |                       |                       |  |
| Benha city  | 16  | 45.7   | 19       | 54.3 | $0.8 \times 10^{2}$   | $2.5 \times 10^{2}$   | $1.35 \times 10^2 \pm 0.11 \times 10^{2b}$ |
| Centers     | 10  | 28.6   | 25       | 71.4 | $1.0 \times 10^{2}$   | $2.8 \times 10^{2}$   | $1.74 \times 10^2 \pm 0.10 \times 10^{2b}$ |
| Villages    | 2   | 5.7    | 33       | 94.3 | 1.9 × 10 <sup>2</sup> | 4.9 × 10 <sup>2</sup> | $3.36 \times 10^2 \pm 0.17 \times 10^{2a}$ |

Table 3: Enterobacteriaceae counts/gm in the examined samples of frozen beef burger (n = 35 for each sample).

\*Percentage in relation to total number of sample in each row.

\*\*Standard error of mean

## **Total Coliform count**

| Sample area | Negative |      | Po  | sitive | Min.                   | Max.                  | Mean ± SEM**                               |
|-------------|----------|------|-----|--------|------------------------|-----------------------|--|
|             | No.      | %*   | No. | %*     |                        |                       |  |
| Benha city  | 27       | 77.1 | 8   | 22.9   | 0. 3 × 10 <sup>2</sup> | $1.8 \times 10^{2}$   | $0.73 \times 10^2 \pm 0.18 \times 10^{2c}$ |
| Centers     | 14       | 40.0 | 21  | 60.0   | $0.7 \times 10^{2}$    | $2.2 \times 10^{2}$   | $1.29 \times 10^2 \pm 0.09 \times 10^{2b}$ |
| Villages    | 6        | 17.1 | 29  | 82.9   | 1.1 × 10 <sup>2</sup>  | 3.6 × 10 <sup>2</sup> | $2.23 \times 10^2 \pm 0.13 \times 10^{2a}$ |

Table 4: Coliforms counts/gm in the examined samples of frozen beef burger (n = 35 for each sample).

\*Percentage in relation to total number of sample in each row. \*\*Standard error of mean

## Isolation of E. coli

| Sample area | No. | Positive |      | No. of accepted | No. of non-accepted |
|-------------|-----|----------|------|-----------------|---------------------|
|             |     | No.      | %*   | samples**       | samples**           |
| Benha city  | 35  | 2        | 5.7  | 33              | 2                   |
| Centers     | 35  | 5        | 14.3 | 30              | 5                   |
| Villages    | 35  | 7        | 20.0 | 28              | 7                   |
| Total       | 105 | 14       | 13.3 | 91              | 14                  |

Table 5: Incidence of E. coli in examined samples of frozen beef burger (n = 35 for each sample).

\*Percentage in relation to total number of sample in each row. \*\*Accepted and non- accepted samples according to (EEC, 2005).

## Serotyping of isolated E. coli

| Sample area     | Benha city |      | Centers |       | Villages |       | Strain characteristic |
|-----------------|------------|------|---------|-------|----------|-------|-----------------------|
| E.coli serotype | No.        | %*   | No.     | %*    | No.      | %*    |                       |
| 055:K59(B5)     | 1          | 2.86 | 2       | 5.71  | 3        | 8.57  | EPEC                  |
| 0125:K59(B5)    | 1          | 2.86 | 2       | 5.71  | 2        | 5.71  | ETEC(EPEC)            |
| 0111:K58(B9)    | 0          | 0.0  | 0       | 0.0   | 1        | 2.86  | EHEC                  |
| 0126:K71(B16)   | 0          | 0.0  | 1       | 2.86  | 1        | 2.86  | EPEC                  |
| Total           | 2          | 5.71 | 5       | 14.28 | 7        | 20.00 | -                     |

*Table 6:* Incidence and serotyping of *E*. coli isolated from positive samples of frozen beef burger (n = 35 for each sample).

\*Percentage in relation to total number of each sample (35). EPEC: Enteropathogenic E. coli ETEC: Enterotoxigenic E. coli EHEC: Enterohaemorrhagic E. coli

#### Staphylococcus species

## Total Staphylococcus count

| Sample area | Negative |      | Positive |      | Min.                  | Max.                  | Mean ±SEM**                                |
|-------------|----------|------|----------|------|-----------------------|-----------------------|--|
|             | No.      | %*   | No.      | %*   |                       |                       |  |
| Benha city  | 13       | 37.1 | 22       | 62.9 | $0.6 \times 10^{2}$   | $2.4 \times 10^{2}$   | $1.34 \times 10^2 \pm 0.09 \times 10^{2c}$ |
| Centers     | 8        | 22.9 | 27       | 77.1 | $1.0 \times 10^{2}$   | 2.9 × 10 <sup>2</sup> | $1.88 \times 10^2 \pm 0.10 \times 10^{2b}$ |
| Villages    | 4        | 11.4 | 31       | 88.6 | 1.2 × 10 <sup>2</sup> | 3.8 × 10 <sup>2</sup> | $2.38 \times 10^2 \pm 0.10 \times 10^{2a}$ |

Table 7: Staphylococci counts/gm in the examined samples of frozen beef burger (n = 35 for each sample).

\*Percentage in relation to total number of sample in each row. \*\*Standard error of mean

## Isolation of Coagulase Positive S. aureus

| Sample area | No. | Positive |      | No. of accepted | No. of non-accepted |
|-------------|-----|----------|------|-----------------|---------------------|
|             |     | No. %*   |      | samples**       | samples**           |
| Benha city  | 35  | 3        | 8.6  | 32              | 3                   |
| Centers     | 35  | 6        | 17.1 | 29              | 6                   |
| Villages    | 35  | 10       | 28.6 | 25              | 10                  |
| Total       | 105 | 19       | 18.1 | 86              | 19                  |

Table 8: Incidence of Coagulase Positive S. aureus in examined samples of frozen beef burger (n = 35 for each sample).

\*Percentage in relation to total number of sample in each row.

\*\*Accepted and non- accepted samples according to (EEC, 2005) in relation to the isolation of Coagulase Positive S. aureus.

## Results of Enterotoxins producing S. aureus strains

The results of SET-RPLA test revealed that, 6 strains out of 10 random examined strains (60.0%) were enterotoxigenic and classified according to type of toxin into (3A;1C, 2A and C).

#### Isolation of Pseudomonas species

*Ps. aeruginosa* strains were the only species isolated from examined samples. Only three beef burger samples collected from different villages were positive for *Ps. aeruginosa* isolation, meanwhile, they failed to be detected in all examined samples of frozen beef burger collected from Benha city and different centers at Kaliobia Governorate.

## Isolation of Aeromoneus species

Aeromoneus species were failed to be detected in all examined samples of frozen beef burger.

#### Isolation of Salmonella species

Salmonella serovars were failed to be detected in all examined samples of frozen beef burger.

## Discussion

Beef burgers are important and popular food items of highly nutritious and highly desirable foods for human being, but they are considered as an ideal culture medium for growth of many microorganisms as *E. coli; Salmonella; S. aureus; Pseudomonas; Micrococcus; lacto-* *bacillus* and *Aeromoneus* resulting in their spoilage, economic losses, foodborne infections in human and health risk [1,6,29]. Therefore, the present study was carried out on frozen beef burger at Kaliobia Governorate to evaluate the bacterial quality and the hygienic health hazard of them with some food borne pathogens.

#### Total aerobic bacterial count (APC)

The total aerobic bacterial count can be used as indicator of bad hygiene during food processing and bad storage conditions that can lead to toxins production and pathogens proliferation [8]. Limits suggested for total aerobic bacterial count in beef burger is  $10^5$  microbes/g [30].The data shown in table 1 revealed that, the minimum and the maximum aerobic plate counts (APC) in the examined frozen beef burger samples collected from different localities (Benha city, centers and villages) were ranged from  $0.9 \times 10^4$  to  $4.9 \times 10^4$ ;  $2.5 \times 10^4$  to  $6.4 \times 10^4$  and  $4.8 \times 10^4$  to  $9.9 \times 10^4$  respectively, with a mean value of  $2.97 \times 10^4 \pm 0.15 \times 10^4$ ;  $4.74 \times 10^4 \pm 0.17 \times 10^4$  and  $7.91 \times 10^4 \pm 0.16 \times 10^4$ , respectively. All examined samples (100%) contained microorganisms. However, the counts were considered satisfactory, as these results were lower than those suggested by EEC [30]. Nearly similar counts were recorded by Zalouk-Enas [31]; Mousa., *et al.* [32]; and Hamed., *et al.* [6].

#### **Total Psychrotrophic counts**

The results in table 2 appeared that, the minimum and the maximum Psychrotrophic count in the examined beef burger samples collected from different localities (Benha city, centers and villages) were ranged from  $0.5 \times 10^4$  to  $3.2 \times 10^4$ ;  $1.2 \times 10^4$  to  $3.4 \times 10^4$  and  $3.2 \times 10^4$  to  $7.4 \times 10^4$  respectively, with a mean value of  $1.76 \times 10^4 \pm 0.11 \times 10^4$ ;  $2.32 \times 10^4 \pm 0.10 \times 10^4$  and  $5.21 \times 10^4 \pm 0.19 \times 10^4$ , respectively. As all positive samples of frozen beef burger collected from different areas were lower than  $10^5$ , so all samples were accepted following EEC [30]. These results of were agree with those of Karaboz and Dincer [33].

#### Total Enterobacteriaceae count

Enterobacteriaceae have an epidemiological importance and the presence of them in meat indicates a microbial proliferation, as some of their members are pathogenic and may cause serious infections and food poisoning outbreaks to human being [34]. The results in table 3 appeared that, the minimum and the maximum Enterobacteriaceae count in the examined frozen beef burger samples collected from different localities (Benha city, centers and villages) were ranged from  $0.8 \times 10^2$  to  $2.5 \times 10^2$ ;  $1.0 \times 10^2$  to  $2.8 \times 10^2$  and  $1.9 \times 10^2$  to  $4.9 \times 10^2$  respectively, with a mean value of  $1.35 \times 10^2 \pm 0.11 \times 10^2$ ;  $1.74 \times 10^2 \pm 0.10 \times 10^2$  and  $3.36 \times 10^2 \pm 0.17 \times 10^2$ , respectively. These results of were agree with those of Stagnitta., *et al.* [35] and Zalouk-Enas [31].

#### **Total Coliform count**

The presence of coliforms in food indicates poor hygienic standards. Data presented in table 4 showed that, the minimum and the maximum Coliform count in the examined frozen beef burger samples collected from different localities (Benha city, centers and villages) were ranged from  $0.3 \times 10^2$  to  $1.8 \times 10^2$ ;  $0.7 \times 10^2$  to  $2.2 \times 10^2$  and  $1.1 \times 10^2$  to  $3.6 \times 10^2$  respectively, with a mean value of  $0.73 \times 10^2 \pm 0.18 \times 10^2$ ;  $1.29 \times 10^2 \pm 0.09 \times 10^2$  and  $2.23 \times 10^2 \pm 0.13 \times 10^2$ , respectively. These results came in parallel with those of Mousa., *et al.* [32] and Hamed., *et al.* [6].

#### Isolation of E. coli

The recovery of *E. coli* from meat samples indicates fecal contamination and implies that other pathogens of fecal origin may be present. The increased incidence of *E. coli* in the examined samples may be due to mishandling during production, processing and distribution or to the use of contaminated water during evisceration and slaughtering [36,37]. The results in tables (5 and 6) revealed that, 14 isolates of *E. coli* were isolated from examined frozen beef burger samples collected from different localities (Benha city, centers and villages) represented as 2 (5.7%) from samples of Benha city with serotypes one 055:K59(B5) and one 0125:K59(B5); 5 (14.3%) from samples of centers with serotypes 2 055:K59(B5), 2 0125:K59(B5) and one 0126:K71(B16) and 7 (20.0%) from samples of villages with serotypes 3

168

O55:K59(B5), 2 O125:K59(B5), one O111:K58(B9) and one O126:K71(B16), also, 91 samples out of 105 ones were accepted as they were free from *E. coli* isolates according to [30]. Nearly similar results were obtained by Maarouf and Nassif-Marionette [38]; Mansour [39]; Ezzat., *et al.* [40]; Mohammed., *et al.* [41] and Abd El-Tawab., *et al* [42]. Meanwhile, these results were disagreed with those of Zaki-Eman [43] and Ramadan [44] who isolated *E. coli* from frozen beef burger samples with high incidence. Also, disagreed with Wehab and Hegazy [45] and Hamed., *et al.* [6] who failed to isolate *E. coli* from beef burger samples. Moreover, the same serotypes of *E. coli* were previously isolated by Maarouf and Nassif-Marionette [38]; Mansour [39]; Mohammed., *et al.* [41]; Shawish [46] and Tarabees., *et al* [47].

## Total Staphylococcus count

The obtained results in table 7 revealed that, the minimum and the maximum Staphylococcus count in the examined frozen beef burger samples collected from different localities (Benha city, centers and villages) were ranged from  $0.6 \times 10^2$  to  $2.4 \times 10^2$ ;  $1.0 \times 10^2$  to  $2.9 \times 10^2$  and  $1.2 \times 10^2$  to  $3.8 \times 10^2$  respectively, with a mean value of  $1.34 \times 10^2 \pm 0.09 \times 10^2$ ;  $1.88 \times 10^2 \pm 0.10 \times 10^2$  and  $2.38 \times 10^2 \pm 0.10 \times 10^2 \pm 0.10 \times 10^2$ , respectively. These counts came in agreement with El-Maghraby-Marwa [48] and Ahmed- Alyaa [49]. Meanwhile, the results disagreed with those of Moharum [50] and Saad., *et al.* [51] who reported higher Staphylococcus counts in examined frozen beef burger samples.

Moreover, the statistical results revealed that, samples collected from different villages showed a significant ( $P \le 0.05$ ) increase of APC; total Psychrotrophic counts; Enterobacteriaceae counts; Coliforms counts and Staphylococci counts when compared with other samples. This may be due to the combination of the low quality of beef burger sold; poor manufacturing processes; inadequate cleaning and disinfection of both equipment and surfaces or poor personal hygiene; use of untrained personnel and long storage periods with periodical cutting of electrics or using inconstant power of electric supply with fuel powered generating sets, that leading to frequent thawing and freezing of products in villages resulting in an inferior or even unfit quality for human consumption [3,4,52].

## Isolation of Coagulase Positive S. aureus

The results obtained in table 8 revealed that, 19 isolates of Coagulase positive *S. aureus* were isolated from examined frozen beef burger samples represented as 3 (8.6%) from samples of Benha city; 6 (17.1%) from samples of centers and 10 (28.6%) from samples of villages. Moreover, 86 samples out of 105 ones were accepted, as they were free from Coagulase Positive *S. aureus* isolates according to [30]. These results came in accordance with those obtained by Abd El-Tawab., *et al.* [42]; Djoulde., *et al.* [53]; Hamed., *et al.* [6]; Tarabees., *et al.* [47] and Nadim-Samaa [54]. Meanwhile, these results were disagreed with those of Mousa., *et al.* [32] who isolated *S. aureus* from frozen beef burger samples with high incidence. Also, disagreed with Wehab and Hegazy [45] who failed to isolate *S. aureus* from beef burger samples. The presence of *S. aureus* in meat and its products indicates poor hygiene of meat handlers as well as lack of sterilization of utensils and they grow without pronounced change in odour or taste in the products and producing heat stable enterotoxins which lead to food poisoning with severe diarrhoea and gastroenteritis among consumers [55]. Regarding to the results of SET- RPLA test table 10 revealed that, 6 strains out of 10 randomly examined strains (60.0%) were enterotoxigenic and classified according to type of toxin into (3A; 1C, 2A and C). This result nearly similar to that recorded by [40,42,56] who found enterotoxin A; C and A and C in beef meat and meat products.

#### Isolation of Pseudomonas species

*Pseudomonas* spp. are the most important spoilage organisms associated with meat and meat products, as the presence of them in meat and meat products lead to unsafe food [5]. The results of the present study revealed that, *Ps. aeruginosa* strains were the only species isolated from examined samples, where, only three beef burger samples collected from different villages were positive for *Ps. aeruginosa* isolation, meanwhile, they failed to be detected in all examined samples of frozen beef burger collected from Benha city and different centers at Kaliobia Governorate. Similar results for *Ps. aeruginosa* strains isolation from frozen beef burger samples were recorded by El-Shopary [57].

#### Isolation of Aeromoneus species

The present study failed to detect Aeromoneus species from all examined frozen beef burger samples.

## Isolation of Salmonella species

The present study failed to detect *Salmonella serovars* from all examined frozen beef burger samples. These results were agreed with those recorded by Datta., *et al* [12]. Meanwhile, disagreed with those of Mousa., *et al*. [32]; Abd El-Tawab., *et al*. [42] and Hamed., *et al*. [6] who isolated *Salmonella* from frozen beef burger samples.

Finally, the present study proved that frozen beef burgers are considered public health hazard and the presence of aerobic bacteria; Enterobacteriaceae; coliforms; *E. coli; Staphylococci* mainly Coagulase Positive *S. aureus; Ps. aeruginosa* and *Psychrotrophic* bacteria may be due to mishandling and the negligence of hygienic aspects. Therefore, it was concluded that these pathogens are meat-borne pathogens of public health important.

## Bibliography

- 1. Komba EVG., *et al.* "Sanitary practices and occurrences of zoonotic conditions in cattle at slaughter in Morogoro Municipality, Tanzania; Implication for public health". *Tanzania Journal of Health Research* 14.2 (2012): 2.
- 2. Zafar A., et al. "Microbiological Evaluation of Raw Meat Products Available in Local Markets of Karachi, Pakistan". Pakistan Academy of Sciences B. Life and Environmental Sciences 53.2 (2016): 103-109.
- 3. Ercolini D., *et al.* "Changes in the spoilage-related microbiota of beef during refrigerated storage under different packaging conditions". *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* 72.7 (2006): 4663-4671.
- Akhtar S., et al. "Microbiological food safety: a dilemma of developing societies". Critical Reviews in Microbiology 40.4 (2014): 348-359.
- 5. Farag HEM and Nahla T Korashy. "The incidence of proteolytic Pseudomonas species associated with ground beef with regards to their spoilage effect". *Assiut Veterinary Medical Journal* 119 (2008): 115-128.
- 6. Hamed EA., *et al.* "Bacteriological hazard associated with meat and meat products". *Egyptian Journal of Agricultural Research* 93.4 (2015): 385-393.
- McEvovy JM., et al. "Microbial contamination on beef in relation to hygiene assessment based on criteria used in EU Decision 2001/471/EC". International Journal of Food Microbiology 92.2 (2004): 217-225.
- 8. Zweifel C., *et al.* "Microbiological contamination of cattle and pig carcasses at five abattoirs determined by swab sampling in accordance with Eu. Decision 2001/471/EC". *Journal of meat science* 69.3 (2005): 559-566.
- 9. Clarence SY., *et al.* "Assessment of Bacteriological quality of ready to eat food (Meat Pie) in Benin City metropolis, Nigeria". *African Journal of Microbiology Research* 3.6 (2009): 390-395.
- 10. Kaper JB., et al. "Pathogenic Escherichia coli". Nature Reviews Microbiology 2.2 (2004): 123-140.
- 11. Gi YL., *et al.* "Prevalence and classification of pathogenic E.coli isolated from fresh beef, poultry and pork in Korea". *International Journal of Food Microbiology* 134.3 (2009): 196-200.

- 12. Datta SA *et al.* "Microbiological Quality Assessment of Raw Meat and Meat Products and Antibiotic Susceptibility of Isolated Staphylococcus aureus". *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry* 2 (2012): 187-195.
- 13. Busani L., *et al.* "Prevalence of Salmonella enterica and Listeria monocytogenes contamination in food of animal origin in Italy". *Journal of Food Protection* 68.8 (2005): 1729-1733.
- 14. Zhao T., *et al.* "Occurrence of Salmonella enterica serotype Typhimurium DT 104 in retail ground beef". *Journal of Food Protection* 65.2 (2002): 403-407.
- Llewelyn M and Cohen J. "Superantigens: Microbial agents that corrupt immunity". *The Lancet Infectious Diseases* 2.3 (2002): 156-162.
- 16. Ghenghesh KS., *et al.* "Aeromonas- Associated infections in developing countries". *The Journal of Infection in Developing Countries* 2.2 (2008): 81-98.
- 17. APHA "American Public Health Association". Compendium of Methods for the Microbiological examination of Foods. 4<sup>th</sup> Edition. F.P. Downes and K. Ito(editors), APHA. Washington D.C., USA (2001).
- Food and Drug Administration "FDA". "Evaluation and definition of potentially hazardous foods". Analysis of microbial hazards related to time/ temperature control of food for safety. Department of Health and Human Services. Food and Drug Administration Chapter 4 (2001): 1-19.
- 19. International commission of Microbiological Specification for Foods "ICMSF". "Microorganisms in Food. Their Significance and Methods of Enumeration". 3<sup>rd</sup> Ed. Univ. of Toronto, Canada (1996).
- 20. ISO (International Standardization Organization). Microbiology of Food and Animal Feeding Stuffs\_Horizontal Method for Detection of Escherichia coli 0157.ISO 1664". Geneva, Switzerland (2001).
- 21. Edward RP and Ewing WH. "Edwards and Ewing's identification of Enterobacteriaceae". 3<sup>rd</sup> Ed. Burgess, Minneapolis (1972).
- 22. Quinn PJ., *et al.* "Veterinary microbiology and microbial disease". Iowa State Univ. Press, Blackwell Science Ltd, chapters 26-36 (2002): 84-96.
- 23. Igarashi H., *et al.* "Latex agglutination test for Staphylococcus toxic Shock syndrome toxin1". *Journal of Clinical Microbiology* 23.3 (1986): 516-521.
- 24. Markey BK., *et al.* "Clinical Veterinary Microbiology. Second edition". MOSBY. Elsevier Ltd. Edinburgh London New York Oxford Philadelphia St Louis Sydney Toronto (2013).
- 25. Nicky BB. "Bacteria from fish and other aquatic animals (a practical identification manual)". *CABI publishing is a division of CAB international* QR106.B85 (2004): 83-116.
- "ISO 6579. 2002. Microbiology of food and animal feeding stuffs- horizontal method for the detection of Salmonella spp". *International standard* (4<sup>th</sup> edition) (2002).
- 27. Snedecor GW and Cochran WG. "Statistical Methods". 6th Ed. Iowa State University Press, Asmes, USA (1969).
- 28. SPSS for windows, Version: 11 (2001).

Citation: Fahim A Shaltout., et al. "Bacteriological Aspect of Frozen Beef Burger". EC Nutrition 10.4 (2017): 162-172.

- 29. Richard Lawly, et al. The food Safety Hazard Guide book, 2<sup>nd</sup> Edition (2012): 81.
- 30. EEC. "Commission regulation (EC) No.2073/2005 on microbiological criteria for foodstuffs. Council of the European Communities (EEC)". Official Journal of the European Communities 1.338 (2005): 22.
- Zalouk-Enas MN. "Quality assurance of some fast food". MVSc. Thesis (Meat Hygiene), Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Alexandria University (2013).
- 32. Mousa MM., et al. "Microbiological Criteria of Some Meat Products". Alexandria Journal of Veterinary Sciences 42.1 (2014): 83-89.
- 33. Karaboz I and Dincer B. "Microbiological investigation on some of the commercial frozen meat in IZMIR". *Turkish Electronic Journal of Biotechnology* (2002): 18-23.
- 34. Mosupye FM and Van Holy A. "Microbiological hazard identification and exposure assessment of street food vending in Johannesburg, South Africa". *International Journal of Food Microbiology* 61.23 (2000): 137-145.
- 35. Stagnitta PV., *et al.* "Prevalence of some bacteria yeasts and molds in meat foods in san Luis, Argentina". *Central European journal of public health* 14.3 (2006): 141-144.
- 36. Ayçiçek H., et al. "Assessment of the bacterial contamination on hands of hospital food handlers". Food Control 15.4 (2004): 253-259.
- 37. Gwida M., *et al.* "Occurrence of Enterobacteriaceae in Raw Meat and in Human Samples from Egyptian Retail Sellers". *International Scholarly Research Notices* (2014): 1-6.
- 38. Maarouf AA and Nassif-Marionette Z. "Bacteriological studies on frozen cow meat and some meat products at Benha city". *Journal of the Egyptian Veterinary Medical Association* 68.1 (2008): 129-141.
- 39. Mansour-Amal FA. "Occurrence of Escherichia coli in some beef products at Alexandria markets". *Animal Health Research Reviews* 1.2 (2013): 50-54.
- 40. Ezzat M., et al. "Molecular characterization of pathogenic E. coli isolated from meat and their products". SCVMJ 21.1 (2014): 103-113.
- 41. Mohammed MA., *et al.* "Occurrence, serotypes and virulence genes of non-0157 Shiga toxin producing Escherichia coli in fresh beef, ground beef, and beef burger". *Journal of Food Control* 37 (2014): 182-187.
- 42. Abd El-Tawab AA., *et al.* "Bacteriological studies on some food borne bacteria isolated from chicken meat and meat products in Kaliobia Governorate". *BVMJ* 29.2 (2015): 47-59.
- 43. Zaki- Eman M. "Risk assessment of ready prepared meat products". Ph.D. Thesis, (Meat Hygiene). Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Cairo University (2003).
- 44. Ramadan AM. "Contamination of meat products with human pathogens". MVSc. Thesis (Meat hygiene), Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Alexandria University (2015).
- 45. Wehab HH and Hegazy SKS. "Chemical and microbiological parameters of some food products from plant and animal protein origin". *Journal of the Egyptian Veterinary Medical Association* 67.2 (2007): 75-90.

- 46. Shawish RRM. "Prevalence of shiga toxin-producing Escherichia coli in some beef products". Ph.D. Thesis (Meat Hygiene)., Faculty of Veterinary Medicine Menoufia University (Sadat branch) (2015).
- 47. Tarabees RZ Hassanin ZH and ElBagoury AM. "Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR): An Alternative Rapid Method for Detection of Some Microbial Contamination of Meat Products". *Alexandria Journal of Veterinary Sciences* 45.1 (2015): 91-98.
- 48. El-Maghraby-Marwa SM. "Bacteriological evaluation of meat and some meat products at consumer level". M.V.Sc., Thesis (Meat Hygiene), Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, University of Sadat City (2014).
- 49. Ahmed-Alyaa SOS. "Quality of Native and Imported Meat in the Egyptians Markets". M.Sc. Thesis (Food Hygiene and Control) Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Cairo University (2015).
- 50. Moharum AA. "Quality of locally produced and imported frozen beef". MVSc., Thesis (Meat Hygiene), Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Cairo University (2005).
- 51. Saad MS., et al. "Microbial and chemical evaluation of fast foods". Benha Veterinary Medical Journal 1 (2011): 44-51.
- 52. Adetunji VO and Isola TO. "Antibiotic resistance of Escherichia coli, Listeria and Salmonella isolates from retail meat tables in Ibadan municipal abattoir, Nigeria". *African Journal of Biotechnology* 10.30 (2011): 5795-5799.
- 53. Djoulde DR., *et al.* "Microbiological quality and safety of street meat-food sold in SoudanoSahelian zone of Cameroon". *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences* 4.2 (2015): 441-450.
- 54. Nadim- Samaa S. "A comparative study on application of PCR and ELISA for detection of Pathogens in some meat products with special References to public health". Ph. D. Thesis (Meat Hygiene., Faculty of Veterinary Medicine - Benha University (2016).
- 55. Plaatjies Z., *et al.* "Staphylococcal growth in fresh vacuum-packed red meat at various storage conditions". 8<sup>th</sup> World Congress on Environmental Health. Durban, South Africa (2004).
- Abd El-Salam-Azza S. "Molecular detection of antimicrobial resistance for some food borne pathogens". Ph. D. Thesis (Bacteriology, Mycology and Immunology) Faculty of Veterinary Medicine Zagazig University (2014).
- 57. El-shopary NF. "Pseudomonas species in some retailed meat products". MVSc. Thesis, *Faculty of Veterinary Medicine Zagazig University Egypt* (2010).

Volume 10 Issue 4 August 2017 ©All rights reserved by Fahim A Shaltout., *et al*.